

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/478,153	06/07/1995	MICHAEL J. ADANG	MPS-11-83D2D	6814
23557	7590 07/25/2006		EXAMINER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK			FOX, DAVID T	
PO BOX 142	SIONAL ASSOCIATION 2950		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GAINESVIL	LLE, FL 32614-2950		1638	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· · · · · · · · · ·	08/478,153	ADANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David T. Fox	1638	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the control	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under M 	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matte		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			•
4) Claim(s) 24-46 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 24-46 are subject to restriction and/or compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to by the Examine compared to the specification is objected to be compared to the specification is objected to the specification is	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er.		
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on //o/deis/are: a) ☑ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extension	drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 C	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Aprity documents have been in the contract (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/8/95 & 4/5/06	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0	D-152)

Application/Control Number: 08/478,153

Art Unit: 1638

The petition under 37 CFR 1.48(c) of 18 May 2006 to add inventor Firoozabady has been <u>GRANTED</u>. Inventor Firoozabady has been added.

The specification is objected to for its omission of a Sequence Listing in either written or computer readable format. It is noted that the application was effectively filed prior to 1990, the effective date of the Sequence Rules. However, newly submitted claim 25 recites a sequence identifier, which necessitates the submission of a Sequence Listing in both written and computer readable format, together with a statement that they are the same. See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 24-25, drawn to a transformed cotton plant belonging to the Class
 2 genotype, classified in class 800, subclass 314, for example.
- II. Claims 27 and 46, drawn to a method of regenerating an untransformed Class 2 cotton genotype, classified in class 435, subclass 427, for example.
- III. Claims 40-43, drawn to an Agrobacterium-mediated process of transforming a cotton plant other than a Class 2 genotype, classified in class 435, subclass 469, for example.
- IV. Claims 44-45, drawn to a transformed cotton plant other than a Class 2 genotype, classified in class 800, subclass 278, for example.

Claims 26 and 28-39 link(s) inventions II and III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 26 and 28-39. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the

Art Unit: 1638

restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and each of II-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different designs, modes of operation and different effects. The invention of Group I requires Class 2 genotypes not required by Groups III-IV, and transgenes not required by Group II.

Application/Control Number: 08/478,153

Art Unit: 1638

Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process, such as biolistic transformation or the use of a different tissue culture regimen.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, classification, and fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1638

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-0795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:30AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg, can be reached on 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 18, 2006

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 180 1638